BRIEF REPORT



Brief Report: Preliminary Feasibility of the TEDI: A Novel Parent-Administered Telehealth Assessment for Autism Spectrum Disorder Symptoms in the First Year of Life

Meagan R. Talbott¹ · Sarah Dufek¹ · Lonnie Zwaigenbaum^{2,3} · Susan Bryson⁴ · Jessica Brian^{5,6} · Isabel M. Smith⁴ · Sally J. Rogers¹

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2019

Abstract

Families with early concerns about infant symptoms of ASD have limited access to experienced professionals for screening and guidance. Telehealth has been used to reduce access disparities in other pediatric populations and has shown promise in parent-implemented interventions for ASD. We investigated the feasibility of a novel level-2 telehealth assessment of infants' early social communication and ASD symptoms, the Telehealth Evaluation of Development for Infants (TEDI). Parents of eleven infants aged 6–12 months were coached to administer specific semi-structured behavioral probes. Initial feasibility, reliability, and acceptability benchmarks were met. These findings suggest the feasibility of screening infants via telehealth, and are supportive of further large-scale efforts to validate this method for longitudinal monitoring of symptomatic infants in community settings.

 $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Keywords} \ \mbox{Autism spectrum disorders} \cdot \mbox{ASD} \cdot \mbox{Infancy} \cdot \mbox{Screening} \cdot \mbox{AOSI} \cdot \mbox{IGDI} \cdot \mbox{ECI} \cdot \mbox{Telehealth} \cdot \mbox{Parent-child interaction} \end{array}$

Introduction

Maximizing the benefits of early intervention for improving outcomes of individuals with autism spectrum disorders (ASD) depends on efficacious early screening and identification practices. The persistence of a significant lag between symptom onset and formal diagnosis underscores the urgency of improving methods for early screening (CDC

Meagan R. Talbott mtalbott@ucdavis.edu

- ¹ Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, University of California, Davis MIND Institute, 2825 50th Street, Sacramento, CA 95820, USA
- ² Department of Pediatrics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada
- ³ Autism Research Centre, Glenrose Rehabilitation Hospital, Edmonton, AB, Canada
- ⁴ Dalhousie University/IWK Health Centre, Halifax, NS, Canada
- ⁵ Bloorview Research Institute, Toronto, ON, Canada
- ⁶ University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

2018). Current universal screeners for ASD were primarily designed for toddlerhood, when initial diagnoses become quite stable (Zwaigenbaum et al. 2015a, b). Longitudinal studies of high-risk infant siblings of children with ASD who do and do not go on to receive an ASD diagnosis themselves indicate that as a group, infants ultimately diagnosed begin to diverge around 12 months of age. There is clear individual variation from this group pattern, with a substantial number of toddlers not meeting criteria for a diagnosis until 36 months or later, and others with symptoms emerging between 6 and 12 months (Ozonoff et al. 2010, 2015; Zwaigenbaum et al. 2015a, b, 2007). This protracted onset period is also borne out in general population studies (Stenberg et al. 2014) Although the mean age of first parental first concern is around 2 years of age, parent concerns as early as 6 months are associated with subsequent ASD diagnoses (Sacrey et al. 2015, 2016; Zuckerman et al. 2015). Public service efforts to increase awareness of autism risk have provided parents, especially of infant siblings of children with ASD, with increased knowledge of 'red flags' in infancy, leading them to seek developmental evaluations. However, families with early concerns typically face long waitlists and often must travel long distances to centers with appropriate expertise (Daniels and Mandell 2016; Zuckerman et al. 2015). These issues are further compounded in rural areas served by low-resourced early diagnostic and intervention services (Hallam et al. 2009). Two-stage screening procedures, which identify and refer children with the most significant red flags for specialized evaluations, can decrease wait time for such services (Dow et al. 2017; Khowaja et al. 2018; Roberts et al. 2018). Here, we present initial data on one potential approach to stage 2 screening: a telehealth protocol for coaching parents to administer behavioral probes so infants can be screened and assessed from a distance.

ASD Symptoms in the First Year of Life

While promising biomarkers have been identified in 6- to-12-month-old infant siblings of children with ASD (Bosl et al. 2018; Emerson et al. 2017; Hazlett et al. 2017; Shen et al. 2013), costs and availability limit their utility as universal screeners. The earliest appearing behavioral differences appear in measures of social orienting and attention, gesture and nonverbal communication, atypical motor behavior and object exploration, sensory stereotypies, and a pattern of declining social communication development (Bryson et al. 2007; Ozonoff et al. 2010; Sacrey et al. 2015; Zwaigenbaum et al. 2015a, b). Infants with early-appearing symptoms show a more rapid onset and more significant clinical symptoms than those with later-appearing symptoms, raising the possibility that these infants might particularly benefit from improved screening and earlier access to efficacious interventions (Chawarska et al. 2014; Landa and Gross 2012; Landa et al. 2013). Examining this question is limited by the lack of longitudinal data on the developmental course or clinical outcomes of infants with symptoms in the first year of life, which is challenging to obtain given the low numbers of infants likely to live in any one geographic area.

Telehealth Approaches to Screening and Treatment

Screening and treatment via telehealth is utilized as an accepted, cost-effective practice across a wide range of pediatric conditions, particularly for reaching patients in remote or rural areas (Clark et al. 2019; Elpers et al. 2016; Marcin et al. 2016; McCarthy et al. 2018; Walton et al. 2016). Telehealth also reflects a family-centered care approach—both evidence-based and mandated for federally-funded early intervention programs in the United States (Garne Holm et al. 2018). The potential scalability of telehealth screening for early developmental problems is highlighted by the cost-effectiveness, increased access to care, and elimination of waitlists reported by a large, state-funded telehealth program serving 900 patients with intellectual disability with complex needs across the state of Ohio (Gentile et al. 2018).

There is some initial support for the efficacy of telehealth approaches for diagnostic evaluation and parent-mediated treatment for ASD (Juárez et al. 2018; Nazneen et al. 2015; Rogers et al. 2012; Smith et al. 2017; Vismara et al. 2012). Families of toddlers with ASD report high satisfaction and acceptability of telehealth approaches to treatment (Bearss et al. 2018; Littleet al. 2019; Vismara et al. 2016). Parentadministered home video diaries have been successfully used to assess communication in high-risk infant siblings (Talbott et al. 2016). Thus, while it seems feasible to develop telehealth-based ASD screening procedures, to date no data have been published using this approach. The primary aim of this study was to evaluate the feasibility of adapting current laboratory-based measures of social communication and ASD symptoms in infancy for parent administration via telehealth. Adapted measures included a parent-administered Autism Observation Scale for Infants (AOSI; Bryson et al. 2008) and the Individual Growth and Development Indices-Early Communication Indicator (IGDI-ECI; Greenwood et al. 2010). Outcome benchmarks included feasibility, reliability, and acceptability to families.

Methods

Participants

Participants were recruited through word of mouth, posted fliers, and referrals from clinical or early intervention providers throughout the US. Eligibility criteria utilized to ensure the developmental appropriateness of the assessment materials and procedures included: infant age between 6 and 12 months at screening and score in the concerns range of the Infant-Toddler Checklist (Wetherby et al. 2008), English as primary caregiver language, and access to a computer or mobile device in the home capable of running the videoconferencing platform. Exclusion criteria included significant abnormalities in the pre-, peri-, or post-natal period, gestational age younger than 34 weeks, lengthy hospitalizations or significant medical conditions (e.g., seizures, head injuries), or known genetic syndrome associated with ASD (e.g., fragile X), or moderate to severe visual, auditory, or motor impairments. Eleven infants (6 females, 5 males) met the screening criteria and were enrolled in the study. Demographic information is detailed in Table 1.

Procedure

Referrals who met eligibility criteria were invited to participate. Participating families completed online consent, demographics, and developmental questionnaires. A telehealth session (TEDI 1a) was scheduled in which all study procedures were described and all questions answered. The

Table 1 Par	Table 1 Participant demographics										
Partici- Se	Partici- Sex Infant race/ethnicity Sibling	' Sibling	Location	Age at first	Age at	Met ASQ	Met ASQ-3 concern cut-off, by domain	off, by domain			Met ASQ:SE-2
pant No.		with ASD		contact (months)	screening (months)	Commu- nication	Commu- Gross motor Fine motor Problem Personal social nication solving	Fine motor	Problem solving	Personal social	concern cut-off
1 F	White	y	Michigan, USA	5	11			y			y
2 F	White	u	Victornia, Australia	5	6	y				У	y
3 F	Asian	u	California, USA	6	12	y	y	y			y
4 M	1 White	n	Massachusetts, USA	4	6	y		y	У		y
5 M	1 White, Hispanic	y	California, USA	3	6					У	
6 M	1 White	u	Georgia, USA	6	11	y					y
7 F	White	y	Utah, USA	6	7	y		•	У	y	y
8 F	White	У	Washington DC, USA	9	7	y		y			y
9 M	1 White, Hispanic	u	Victoria, Australia	7	8	y		y		У	y
10 M	1 White	u	New York, USA	10	10	y	y		y	У	y
11 F	White	u	Pennsylvania, USA	6	6	y			y	y	y

caregiver was coached to conduct the interactive procedures used for the video assessment sample using a kit of developmentally-appropriate play materials that was mailed to the family (e.g. rattles, book, blocks, bubbles). Families kept these materials following the study. A second telehealth session (TEDI 1b) was scheduled within a month to repeat the measures. If there were discrepancies in infants' AOSI scoring classification between session 1a and 1b, or parents reported that a session was not representative of the infants' usual behavior, a third session was conducted. An electronic survey was mailed to families following the final telehealth session to measure acceptability and gather feedback. All study procedures were approved by the IRB at the University of California, Davis.

The Telehealth Assessment of Social Communication (TEDI) Protocol

The TEDI protocol facilitates parents' delivery of semistructured parent-child play interactions using both direct coaching and written materials including a set of cue cards adapted from Adamson and Bakeman's (2016) parent-child Communication Play Protocol (CPP). The cue cards and toys provided in the TEDI kits support 10 different interactive 'scenes', described below in Table 2. These include 5 scenes probing each of the specific items in the experimenteradministered Autism Observation Scale for Infants (AOSI; Bryson et al. 2008) and 5 additional scenes eliciting object exploration, play, and communication.

Measures

CSBS-DP Infant-Toddler Checklist (ITC; Wetherby and Prizant 2002): is a 25-item checklist with empirically derived cutoffs that assesses 6- to 24-month-old infants' language, communication, play skills, and parents' concerns. The ITC was used to screen infants at intake.

Ages and Stages Questionnaires: 3rd Edition (ASQ-3; Squires and Bricker 2006): is a series of 10-min standardized caregiver-completed questionnaires designed to assess 1- to 66-month-old infants' developmental performance and risk across five developmental areas: communication, gross motor, fine motor, problem solving, and personal-social.

Ages and Stages Questionnaire: Social Emotional, Second Edition (ASQ:SE-2; (Squires et al. 2015) is a series of caregiver-completed questionnaires that assess 7 areas: self-regulation, compliance, social-communication, adaptive functioning, autonomy, affect, and interaction with people. It takes approximately 10 min to complete and provides a summary score with corresponding cut points for concern.

Autism Observation Scale for Infants (AOSI; Bryson et al. 2008) consists of semi-structured play and systematic presses that assess target behaviors including visual tracking

Title	Corresponding AOSI Item, if applicable	'Plot' description
Parent-child interaction #1		3 min unstructured parent child interaction—"play as you usually would"
Where did it go?	Visual tracking; disengagement of attention	You present new toys' for your child to find
Free play #1	Orients to name	How does [child] usually interact with you without a lot of help or prompting?
Peek-a-boo	Anticipatory responses	You and [child] play a game of peek-a-boo
Imitation	Imitation of actions	[Child] learns a new action from you
Free play #2	Orients to name	How does [child] usually interact with you without a lot of help or prompting?
Singing a song		You and [child] join in a game or song together.
Help me		[Child] needs help doing something with a toy.
What's this? #1		[Child] learns about a new toy, called a [novel label]
What's this? #2		[Child] learns about a new toy, called a [novel label]
Parent-child interaction #1		3 min unstructured parent child interaction—"play as you usually would"

Table 2 TEDI scene descriptions

and attentional disengagement, coordination of eye gaze and action, imitation, affective responses, early social-communicative behaviors, behavioral reactivity, and sensory-motor development, yielding a total score and number of markers. The AOSI was scored from parent-administered TEDI probes.

Individual Developmental Growth Indices, Early Communication Index (ECI; Greenwood 2010; Greenwood et al. 2006) is a weighted communication score that captures the frequency and complexity of vocalizations, verbalizations, and gestures produced during a 6-min play interaction. Normative data are available for both typically and atypically developing infants aged 6–36 months. Six-minute play samples beginning with the TEDI Free Play #1 Activity were coded offline to calculate an ECI score.

Feasibility Outcome Measures

Session Attendance and Codability

Attendance was calculated as the total number of sessions by the number expected, multiplied by 100. Codability was calculated as the total number of sessions from which ECI and AOSI (for reliability purposes) could be coded from video, multiplied by 100. The benchmark criterion was 80% or more for both measures.

Inter-rater Reliability

Intra-class correlation coefficients were calculated between two pairs of raters for the AOSI measures (Total Score and Number of Markers) for 30% of videos (7 of 22) and the ECI score on a sample of 11 videos (one from each child) randomly selected for each measure. Benchmark criterion was an ICC greater than or equal to .75 ('excellent' range).

Test-Retest Reliability

Correlations between successive sessions (TEDI 1a and TEDI 1b) were calculated for each of our three primary measures (ECI, AOSI total score and AOSI number of Markers) by for each measure. AOSI test–retest reliability was calculated using data from the primary clinician, who was research-reliable on the AOSI and conducted all live assessments and scoring, as this is closest to how the TEDI would be utilized in practice. ECI test–retest reliability was calculated based on ratings made by a separate rater who scored communication from recorded videos (vs. live) blind to concerns, age, and session order.

Acceptability

Parents completed a 14-item satisfaction questionnaire on the technology, TEDI components, and telehealth procedure (listed in Table 4) following the second TEDI session.

Results

Infants were on average 10.23 months old at the time of the TEDI 1a visit (range 6.30–14.00 months). TEDI 1a and 1b sessions occurred on average 22 days apart (range 7–41). As expected, there were significant associations between infants' age (in months) at TEDI 1a and all three behavioral measures (AOSI total: $r_s = -0.64$, p = .034; AOSI markers: $r_s = -0.82$, p = .002; ECI $r_s = 0.73$, p = .02). Descriptive data on these behavioral measures is included in Table 3.

Author's personal copy

Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders

Table 3 Means and standarddeviations for coded infantbehavior measures, by session

Measure	TEDI session 1a			TEDI session 1b			
	Mean	SD	Range	Mean	SD	Range	
AOSI total	11.09	2.74	7–16	10.00	3.30	4–17	
AOSI markers	7.45	.934	6–9	6.70	1.49	3–9	
IGDI ECI	9.40	10.71	0-31	19.7	13.54	8–53	

AOSI total and markers 1a n = 11, 1b n = 10; ECI 1a and 1b n = 10

Table 4 Caregiver mean ratings for items on the Parent Satisfaction Questionnaire (N=10)

Domain	Item	М	SD
Technology	Before completing the visit, I felt confident in my ability to use the telehealth system to participate in the assessment	4.45**	0.69
	Overall, the quality of the video, audio, and connections was acceptable	4.91**	0.30
	After completing the assessment, I feel confident in my ability to use the telehealth system to participate in assessments in the future	4.91**	0.30
Assessment Components	This assessment accurately reflected my child's usual behavior	4.00*	0.77
	The assessment session was an acceptable duration	4.91**	0.30
	I understood my role in the assessment	4.91**	0.30
	The cue cards were useful	4.45**	0.69
	It was helpful to receive the toy box ^a	5.0**	-
	I would prefer to use my own toys/materials from home I felt well-supported by the assessment coaching/staff in spite of the distance		1.02
I felt well-supported by the assessment coaching/staff in spite of the distance			0.30
	I would recommend this telehealth assessment procedure to other families	4.81**	0.40
Uptake/Convenience	Participating in the telehealth assessment was convenient	4.72**	0.47
	I would choose a live assessment over a telehealth assessment, no matter the distance	2.81	1.08
	I would choose a telehealth assessment over a live assessment, no matter the distance	2.27 ^t	1.10

Likert Scale: 1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = neither agree nor disagree, 4 = agree, 5 = strongly agree. Analyses compared mean scores against a mean rating of 3

p < .05, p < .01, p < .10

 $a_n = 8$ because 2 families lived out of the country and assembled their own materials

Feasibility

Of the 22 possible TEDI sessions, 100% were completed. Of the 22 completed sessions, 2 had video errors (1 failure to record and 1 recording of insufficient quality for coding). Thus, over 90% of sessions met our codability criteria.

Validity

Inter-rater Reliability

AOSI inter-rater reliability was assessed by having a second expert clinician code half of the intake sessions from recorded videos. An undergraduate coder, blind to infant age, parent-reported concerns, and session order, completed all ECI coding from video after completing reliability training and meeting established reliability benchmarks on a separate set of videos of infants and toddlers engaged in a semistructured interaction with an experimenter (3 consecutive videos at 80% reliability). Intraclass correlation coefficients indicated good to excellent inter-rater agreement for ECI and AOSI total score. (ICC_{ECI}=0.94; ICC_{AOSI total}=0.65). Reliability for AOSI number of markers was low, possibly due to the restricted range (ICC_{AOSI markers}=0.22).

Test-Retest Reliability

Due to significant positive skew in the AOSI and ECI variables, non-parametric statistics were used (n = 10 for AOSI and n=9 for ECI). Positive associations were revealed for correlations among all three measures, with AOSI Total score reaching statistical significance (AOSI total score $r_s = 0.86$, p = .002; AOSI number of markers $r_s = 0.47$, p = .171; ECI $r_s = 0.56$, p = .115).

Acceptability

Item-level responses are presented in Table 4. Parent satisfaction ratings were analyzed using a Wilcoxon signedrank test comparing mean responses to a neutral response (score of 3). Overall, parents rated the procedures as highly acceptable.

Discussion

Although some biological markers of autism risk have been identified in the first year of life, they do not provide feasible screening methods for most community settings. Limited infrastructure, especially in rural communities, requires innovative approaches to build capacity for early screening for symptoms of ASD. Telehealth is uniquely well-suited to this task because of its potential for reaching rural and underserved communities. Telehealth has been used successfully to increase access to screening and treatment in other clinical populations, with data supporting the costeffectiveness, acceptability, and feasibility.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to systematically adapt and test laboratory-based behavioral screening for infants with symptoms of ASD within a telehealth protocol. Our findings support the feasibility of this approach and suggest an avenue for conducting future larger-scale feasibility studies, with a long-term goal of prospectively monitoring community-ascertained samples of symptomatic infants. These results suggest that this approach is also highly acceptable and satisfactory to parents. If supported by additional studies, this approach may lead to earlier referral to evaluations and interventions and better outcomes for infants with persistent symptoms. It may also provide an avenue for ongoing assessment in intervention trials.

These initial pilot data should be interpreted cautiously. Several of our analyses are under-powered to detect significant effects and this is a convenience sample of parents of relatively high SES who sought out early evaluations for their infants. The lack of diagnostic outcome data is a clear limitation of this initial work. Without long-term followup, we cannot draw conclusions regarding the positive or negative predictive values of calculated scores or the clinical utility of this measure as a screening tool. This must be examined in future efforts with much larger samples of infants. However, our primary goal was to demonstrate the feasibility of this approach to collect the kind of data necessary to evaluate these screening metrics. Implementation of a telehealth screening protocol such as this has the potential to increase access to services for underserved infants with ASD symptoms by reducing disparities in access to specialized evaluations by providing data that parents can share with local care and early intervention providers. This approach may ultimately lead to better understanding of the developmental trajectories and diagnostic outcomes of infants who are symptomatic in the first year. Given the low but clear presence of this subgroup of infants with very early symptoms within the infant sibling literature, we believe our telehealth approach provides the means to prospectively follow this unique group and provide crucial information about when and for whom to intervene.

Acknowledgments This project was supported by funding from a Joe P. Tupin Research Award from the UC Davis Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences awarded to S.D. and by the MIND Institute IDDRC funded by the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (U54 HD079125). We are very grateful to the families who participated in this project, and to the assistance of Raechel Paterson and Maya Raj with data collection and coding.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval All research activities were approved by the Institutional review board at the University of California Davis.

Informed Consent All participants signed informed consent before enrolling in this research.

References

Adamson, L. B., & Bakeman, R. (2016). *The communication play protocol* (Technical Report 26).

- Bearss, K., Burrell, T. L., Challa, S. A., Postorino, V., Gillespie, S. E., Crooks, C., et al. (2018). Feasibility of parent training via telehealth for children with autism spectrum disorder and disruptive behavior: A demonstration pilot. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 48, 1020–1030. https://doi.org/10.1007/s1080 3-017-3363-2.
- Bosl, W. J., Bates, A., Heunis, T., Vogel-Farley, V., Tager-Flusberg, H., & Nelson, C. A. (2018). EEG complexity for early detection of autism spectrum disorder risk. *Scientific Reports*. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41598-018-24318-x.
- Bryson, S. E., Zwaigenbaum, L., Brian, J., Roberts, W., Szatmari, P., Rombough, V., et al. (2007). A prospective case series of highrisk infants who developed autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 37, 12–24. https://doi.org/10.1007/s1080 3-006-0328-2.
- Bryson, S. E., Zwaigenbaum, L., McDermott, C., Rombough, V., & Brian, J. (2008). The Autism Observation Scale for Infants: Scale development and reliability data. *Journal of Autism and Devel*opmental Disorders, 38, 731–738. https://doi.org/10.1007/s1080 3-007-0440-y.
- CDC. (2018). Prevalence of autism spectrum disorder among children aged 8 years—Autism and developmental disabilities monitoring network, 11 Sites, United States, 2014. MMWR. Surveillance Summaries, 67, 1–23. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.ss6706a1.
- Chawarska, K., Shic, F., Macari, S., Campbell, D. J., Brian, J., Landa, R. J., ... Bryson, S. (2014). 18-Month predictors of later outcomes in younger siblings of children with autism spectrum disorder: A Baby siblings research consortium study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jaac.2014.09.015.
- Clark, R. R., Fischer, A. J., Lehman, E. L., & Bloomfield, B. S. (2019). Developing and implementing a telehealth enhanced interdisciplinary pediatric feeding disorders clinic: A program description and evaluation. *Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities*, 31(2), 171–188.

- Daniels, A. M., & Mandell, D. S. (2016). Explaining differences in age at autism spectrum disorder diagnosis: A critical review. *Autism: The International Journal of Research and Practice*, 18, 583–597. https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361313480277.Explaining.
- Dow, D., Guthrie, W., Stronach, S. T., & Wetherby, A. M. (2017). Psychometric analysis of the systematic observation of red flags for autism spectrum disorder in toddlers. *Autism*, 21, 301–309. https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361316636760.
- Elpers, J., Lester, C., Shinn, J. B., & Bush, M. L. (2016). Rural family perspectives and experiences with early infant hearing detection and intervention: A qualitative study. *Journal of Community Health*, 41, 226–233. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-015-0086-1.
- Emerson, R. W., Adams, C., Nishino, T., Hazlett, H. C., Wolff, J. J., Zwaigenbaum, L., ... Piven, J. (2017). Functional neuroimaging of high-risk 6-month old infants predicts a diagnosis of autism at 24 months of age. *Science Translational Medicine*, 9, eaag2882. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aag2882.
- Garne Holm, K., Brødsgaard, A., Zachariassen, G., Smith, A. C., & Clemensen, J. (2018). Parent perspectives of neonatal tele-homecare: A qualitative study. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*. https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633X18765059.
- Gentile, J. P., Cowan, A. E., Harper, B., Mast, R., & Merrill, B. (2018). Reaching rural Ohio with intellectual disability psychiatry. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*, 24, 434–439. https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633X17706035.
- Greenwood, C. R. (2010). The early communication indicator for infants and toddlers. *Journal of Early Intervention*, 66101, 310–335.
- Greenwood, C. R., Carta, J. J., Walker, D., Hughes, K., & Weathers, M. (2006). Preliminary investigations of the application of the Early Communication Indicator (ECI) for infants and toddlers. *Journal* of Early Intervention, 28, 178–196. https://doi.org/10.1177/10538 1510602800306.
- Greenwood, C. R., Walker, D., & Buzhardt, J. (2010). The early communication indicator for infants and toddlers: Early head start growth norms from two states. *Journal of Early Intervention, 32*, 310–334. https://doi.org/10.1177/1053815110392335.
- Hallam, R. A., Rous, B., Grove, J., & LoBianco, T. (2009). Level and intensity of early intervention services for infants and toddlers with disabilities: The impact of child, family, system, and community-level factors on service provision. *Journal of Early Intervention*, 31(2), 179–197.
- Hazlett, H. C., Gu, H., Munsell, B. C., Kim, S. H., Styner, M., Wolff, J. J., ... Piven, J. (2017). Early brain development in infants at high risk for autism spectrum disorder. *Nature Publishing Group*, 542, 348–351. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21369.
- Juárez, A. P., Weitlauf, A. S., Nicholson, A., Pasternak, A., Broderick, N., Hine, J., ... Warren, Z. (2018). Early identification of ASD through telemedicine: Potential value for underserved populations. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 0, 0. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10803-018-3524-y.
- Khowaja, M., Robins, D. L., & Adamson, L. B. (2018). Utilizing twotiered screening for early detection of autism spectrum disorder. *Autism*, 22, 881–890. https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361317712649.
- Landa, R. J., & Gross, A. (2012). Latent class analysis of early developmental trajectory in baby siblings of children with autism. *Journal* of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 53, 986–996. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2012.02558.x.Latent.
- Landa, R. J., Stuart, E. A., Gross, A. G., & Faherty, A. (2013). Developmental trajectories in children with and withough autism spectrum disorders: The first 3 years. *Child Development*, 84, 429– 442. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2012.01870.x.
- Little, L. M., Wallisch, A., Pope, E., & Dunn, W. (2019). Acceptability and cost comparison of a telehealth intervention for families of children with autism. *Infants & Young Children*, published.

- Marcin, J. P., Shaikh, U., & Steinhorn, R. H. (2016). Addressing health disparities in rural communities using telehealth. *Pediatric Research*, 79, 169–176. https://doi.org/10.1038/pr.2015.192.
- McCarthy, M., Leigh, G., & Arthur-Kelly, M. (2018). Telepractice delivery of family-centred early intervention for children who are deaf or hard of hearing: A scoping review. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*. https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633X18755883.
- Nazneen, N., Rozga, A., Smith, C. J., Oberleitner, R., Abowd, G. D., & Arriaga, R. I. (2015). A novel system for supporting autism diagnosis using home videos: Iterative development and evaluation of system design. *JMIR MHealth and UHealth, 3*, e68. https ://doi.org/10.2196/mhealth.4393.
- Ozonoff, S., Losif, A.-M., Baguio, F., Cook, I. C., Hill, M. M. M., Hutman, T., ... Young, G. S. (2010). A prospective study of the emergence of early behavioral signs of autism. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 49, 256–266. https:// doi.org/10.1097/00004583-201003000-00009.
- Ozonoff, S., Young, G. S., Landa, R. J., Brian, J., Bryson, S., Charman, T., ... Iosif, A.-M. (2015). Diagnostic stability in young children at risk for autism spectrum disorder: A baby siblings research consortium study. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12421.
- Roberts, M. Y., Stern, Y., Hampton, L. H., Grauzer, J. M., Miller, A., Levin, A., ... Estabrook, R. (2018). Beyond pass-fail: Examining the potential utility of two thresholds in the autism screening process. *Autism Research*. https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.2045.
- Rogers, S. J., Estes, A., Lord, C., Vismara, L. A., Winter, J., Fitzpatrick, A., ... Dawson, G. (2012). Effects of a brief Early Start Denver model (ESDM)-based parent intervention on toddlers at risk for autism spectrum disorders: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal* of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 51, 1052–1065. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2012.08.003.
- Sacrey, L.-A. R., Bryson, S., Zwaigenbaum, L., Brian, J., Smith, I. M., Roberts, W., ... Roncadin, C. (2016). The autism parent screen for infants: Predicting risk of autism spectrum disorder based on parent-reported behavior observed at 6–24 months of age. *Autism*, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361316675120.
- Sacrey, L.-A. R., Zwaigenbaum, L., Bryson, S., Brian, J., Smith, I. M., Roberts, W., ... Armstrong, V. (2015). Can parents' concerns predict autism spectrum disorder? A prospective study of high-risk siblings from 6 to 36 months of age. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jaac.2015.03.014.
- Shen, M. D., Nordahl, C. W., Young, G. S., Wootton-Gorges, S. L., Lee, A., Liston, S. E., ... Amaral, D. G. (2013). Early brain enlargement and elevated extra-axial fluid in infants who develop autism spectrum disorder. *Brain: A Journal of Neurology, 136*, 2825–2835. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awt166.
- Smith, C. J., Rozga, A., Matthews, N., Oberleitner, R., Nazneen, N., & Abowd, G. (2017). Investigating the accuracy of a novel telehealth diagnostic approach for autism spectrum disorder. *Psychological Assessment*, 29, 245–252. https://doi.org/10.1037/pas0000317.
- Squires, & Bricker. (2006). *The Ages and Stages Questionnaire 3rd Edition*. Brookes Publishing.
- Squires, J., Bricker, D., & Twombly, E. (2015). *The Ages and Stages Questionnaire: Social-emotional* (2nd ed.). Baltimore: Brookes Publishing.
- Stenberg, N., Bresnahan, M., Gunnes, N., Hirtz, D., Hornig, M., Lie, K. K., ... Stoltenberg, C. (2014). Identifying children with autism spectrum disorder at 18 months in a general population sample. *Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology*, 28, 255–262. https://doi. org/10.1111/ppe.12114.
- Talbott, M. R., Nelson, C. A., & Tager-Flusberg, H. (2016). Maternal vocal feedback to 9-month-old infant siblings of children with ASD. *Autism Research*, *9*, 460–470. https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.1521.

- Vismara, L. A., Mccormick, C. E. B., Wagner, A. L., Monlux, K., Nadhan, A., & Young, G. S. (2016). Telehealth parent training in the early start Denver model: Results from a randomized controlled study. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*. https://doi.org/10.1177/1088357616651064.
- Vismara, L. A., Young, G. S., & Rogers, S. J. (2012). Telehealth for expanding the reach of early autism training to parents. *Autism Research and Treatment*, 2012, 121878. https://doi. org/10.1155/2012/121878.
- Walton, O. B., Garoon, R. B., Weng, C. Y., Gross, J., Young, A. K., Camero, K. A., ... Chu, Y. I. (2016). Evaluation of automated teleretinal screening program for diabetic retinopathy. *JAMA Ophthalmology*, 134, 204–209. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamao phthalmol.2015.5083.
- Wetherby, A. M., Brosnan-Maddox, S., Peace, V., & Newton, L. (2008). Validation of the Infant-Toddler Checklist as a broadband screener for autism spectrum disorders from 9 to 24 months of age. Autism: The International Journal of Research and Practice, 12, 487–511. https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361308094501.
- Wetherby, A. M., & Prizant, B. (2002). Communication and symbolic behavior scales developmental profile (1st ed.). Baltimore, MD: Paul H. Brookes.
- Zuckerman, K. E., Lindly, O. J., & Sinche, B. K. (2015). Parental concerns, provider response, and timeliness of autism spectrum

disorder diagnosis. *Journal of Pediatrics, 166,* 1431–1439.e1. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2015.03.007.

- Zwaigenbaum, L., Bauman, M. L., Fein, D., Yirmiya, N., Estes, a., Hansen, R. L., ... Wetherby, a. (2015). Early screening of autism spectrum disorder: Recommendations for practice and research. *Pediatrics*, 136, S41–S59. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2014-3667C.
- Zwaigenbaum, L., Bauman, M. L., Stone, W. L., Yirmiya, N., Estes, A., Hansen, R. L., ... Wetherby, A. (2015). Early identification of autism spectrum disorder: Recommendations for practice and research. *Pediatrics*, *136*, S10–S40. https://doi.org/10.1542/ peds.2014-3667C.
- Zwaigenbaum, L., Thurm, A., Stone, W., Baranek, G., Bryson, S., Iverson, J., ... Sigman, M. (2007). Studying the emergence of autism spectrum disorders in high-risk infants: Methodological and practical issues. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 37, 466–480. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-006-0179-x.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.